

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/786,472	02/26/2004	Takahiro Ichihara	925-284	9312	
23117 7	7590 04/25/2006		EXAMINER		
NIXON & VANDERHYE, PC			BONCK, RODNEY H		
901 NORTH GLEBE ROAD, 11TH FLOOR ARLINGTON, VA 22203			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			3681		
			DATE MAILED: 04/25/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/786,472	ICHIHARA ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Rodney H. Bonck	3681		

	Roundy III. Bollok	0001	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>20 April 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ving replies: (1) an amendment, a tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	ffidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) \square The period for reply expires $\underline{5}$ months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire is	ater than SIX MONTHS from the maili	ng date of the final rejecti	on.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7		IE FIRST REPLY WAS F	ILED WITHIN
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extender 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1. tension and the corresponding amoun shortened statutory period for reply original three months after the mailing d	t of the fee. The appropri ginally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), t	o avoid dismissal of th	
AMENDMENTS			
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo 	nsideration and/or search (see NC		ecause
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bei		educing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		ejected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)			(*
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 		, timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		rill be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-11</u> .	•		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	it hafara ar an tha data of filing a h	lation of Annual will no	ot ha antarad
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar 	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appo	eal and/or appellant fai	ils to provide a
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.	t does NOT place the application	in condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)	
13. Other:		Rodney H. Bonck	2
		Podrov H. Parati	
		Rodney H. Bonck	

Primary Exam Art Unit: 3681

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Application No. 10/786,472

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The verified translation of the priority document, referred to in applicants' response, is not as yet in the file. Thus rejection based on Ueda et al. is not overcome. The rejections based on Hayashi are still believed proper as set forth in the final rejection.